

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

uoress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	WWW INSTITUTE COV

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,924	04/20/2001	Paul F. Struhsaker	WEST14-00028	2184
7	590 12/11/2003	EXAMI	NER	
William A. M	lunck, Esq. AVIS & MUNCK, P.C.	CONTEE, JOY KIMBERLY		
900 Three Gall	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
13155 Noel Ro		2686		
Dallas, TX 7:	5240		DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/838,924	STRUTHSAKER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joy K Contee	2686				
 The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply 	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	<u>April 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to. 	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.					
Application Papers	or oldollorr requirement.					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	.or					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 15 January 2002 is/are		iected to by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language processes 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the second	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Appority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not retic priority under 35 U.S.C. § Interest sentence of the specifical revisional application has bestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	eceived in this National Stage eceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) tion or in an Application Data Sheet. en received. 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)	A) []	Promote (PTO 442) Penny Ne (c)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) cornal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/838,924

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows:

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification of in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS),paper #6, submitted on January 2,2003 was received by the Office and entered into the record; however, at this time, the IDS fails to be found in the case. Examiner requests that the IDS, paper #6, be resubmitted for consideration by the examiner.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: reference not made to. Prior application.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,924

Art Unit: 2686

Claim Objections

4. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: the parent claim is not referenced. Appropriate correction is required.

Examiner has examined the claim as if it were dependent from claim 5.

Double Patenting

5. Claims 1-14 of this application conflict with claims 1-14 of Application Nos.

09/839,727 and 09/839,734. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application.

Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

6. Claims 1-14 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-14 of copending Application No. 09/839,727. This is a

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/838,924

Art Unit: 2686

provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

7. Claims 1-14 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-14 of copending Application No. 09/839,734. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Solondz, U.S. Patent No. 6,192,248, discloses a service customization in a wireless communication system.

Leitch et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,163,698, discloses a link setup method for a narrowband cellular communication system.

Cho, U.S. Patent No. 6,377,800, discloses a resource assignment method according to the data transmission types.

Seo, U. S. Patent No. 6,654,605, discloses a point to multipoint radio data service.

Kirkpatrick, U.S. Patent No. 5,933,776, discloses a method and apparatus for field testing cellular telephones.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/838,924

Art Unit: 2686

Page 5

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joy K Contee whose telephone number is 703-308-0149. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 703-305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

December 8, 2003

Marsha D Bank-Harold MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**